Lecture-2

(Chapter 2)

Evolution of the Major Programming Languages

Lecture-3
(Chapter 2)

Evolution of the Major Programming Languages:

- Machine Language
- Pseudocode
- Imperative and Object-Oriented Programming Languages

Chapter 2 Topics

- Zuse's Plankalkül
- Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes
- The IBM 704 and Fortran
- Functional Programming: LISP
- The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60
- Computerizing Business Records: COBOL
- The Beginnings of Timesharing: BASIC

Chapter 2 Topics (continued)

- Everything for Everybody: PL/I
- Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL
-

Genealogy of Common Languages



Zuse's Plankalkül

- Designed in 1945, but not published until 1972
- Never implemented
- Advanced data structures
  - floating point, arrays, records
- Invariants

Plankalkül Syntax

- An assignment statement to assign the expression A[4] + 1 to A[5]

	|	A + 1 => A	
V	|	4 5	(subscripts)
S	|	1.n 1.n	(data types)

Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes

- What was wrong with using machine code?
  - Poor readability
  - Poor modifiability
  - Expression coding was tedious
  - Machine deficiencies--no indexing or floating point

Pseudocodes: Short Code

- Short Code developed by Mauchly in 1949 for BINAC computers
  - Expressions were coded, left to right
  - Example of operations:

```
01 -    06 abs value    1n (n+2)nd power
02 )    07 +            2n (n+2)nd root
03 =    08 pause        4n if <= n
04 /    09 (            58 print and tab
```

Example: X0=SQRT(ABS(Y0)) can be coded as follows:

00 X0 03 20 06 Y0

Here X0 and Y0 are variables.

- No conversion to machine language.
- Short code is implemented with a pure interpreter language.
- It was 50 times slower than machine code.

Pseudocodes: Speedcoding

- Speedcoding developed by Backus in 1954 for IBM 701
  - Machine language + floating-point operations
  - Pseudo ops for arithmetic and math functions
  - Conditional and unconditional branching
  - Auto-increment registers for array access: Good for matrix multiplication
  - Slow!
  - Only 700 words left for user program

Pseudocodes: Related Systems

- The UNIVAC Compiling System
  - Developed by a team led by Grace Hopper
  - Pseudocode expanded into machine code

- David J. Wheeler (Cambridge University)
  - developed a method of using blocks of re-locatable addresses to solve the problem of absolute addressing

IBM 704 and Fortran

- IBM704: One of the greatest single advances in computing in 1954 → Initiated development of Fortran
- Fortran 0: 1954 – not implemented
- Fortran I:1957
  - Designed for the new IBM 704, which had index registers and floating point hardware
    – This led to the idea of compiled programming languages, because there was no place to hide the cost of interpretation (no floating-point software)
  - Environment of development
    - Computers were small and unreliable
    - Applications were scientific
    - No programming methodology or tools
    - Machine efficiency was the most important concern

Design Process of Fortran

- Impact of environment on design of Fortran I
  - No need for dynamic storage
  - Need good array handling and counting loops
  - No string handling, decimal arithmetic, or powerful input/output (for business software)

Fortran I Overview

- First implemented version of Fortran
  - Names could have up to six characters
  - Post-test counting loop (`DO`)
  - Formatted I/O
  - User-defined subprograms (but cannot be separately compiled)
  - Three-way selection statement (arithmetic `IF`)
  - No data typing statements: Variables whose names begin with I,J,K,L,M, and N were integer type, and all others were implicitly floating-point.

Fortran I Overview (continued)

- First implemented version of FORTRAN
  - No separate compilation
  - Compiler released in April 1957, after 18 worker-years of effort
  - Programs larger than 400 lines rarely compiled correctly, mainly due to poor reliability of 704
  - Code was very fast
  - Quickly became widely used

Fortran II

- Distributed in 1958
  - Independent compilation of subroutines: Without independent compilation, any change in a program required that the entire program be recompiled.
  - Fixed the bugs caused by IBM704 which helped programmers to write larger programs

Fortran IV

- Evolved during 1960-62
- Became one of the most widely used programming language
  - Explicit type declarations
  - Logical selection statement (if construct)
  - Subprogram names could be parameters

Fortran 77

- Became the new standard in 1978
  - Character string handling
  - Logical loop control statement
  - **`IF-THEN-ELSE`** statement

Fortran 90

- Most significant changes from Fortran 77
  - Modules
  - Dynamic arrays
  - Pointers
  - Recursion
  - **`CASE`** statement
  - Parameter type checking

Latest versions of Fortran

- Fortran 95 – relatively minor additions, plus some deletions
- Fortran 2003 – support for OOP, procedure pointers, interoperability with C

Fortran 2008 – blocks for local scopes, co-arrays, `DO CONCURRENT` construct to specify loops without interdependencies

Fortran Evaluation

- Highly optimizing compilers (all versions before 90)
  - Types and storage of all variables are fixed before run time
- Dramatically changed forever the way computers are used

Functional Programming: LISP

- LISt Processing language
  - Designed at MIT by McCarthy
- AI research needed a language to
  - Process data in lists (rather than arrays)
  - Symbolic computation (rather than numeric)
- Only two data types: atoms and lists
- Syntax is based on *lambda calculus*

Representation of Two LISP Lists



Representing the lists `(A B C D)` and `(A (B C) D (E (F G)))`

LISP Evaluation

- Pioneered functional programming
  - No need for variables or assignment
  - Control via recursion and conditional expressions
- Still the dominant language for AI
- COMMON LISP and Scheme are contemporary dialects of LISP
- ML, Haskell, and F# are also functional programming languages, but use very different syntax

Scheme

- Developed at MIT in mid 1970s
- Small
- Extensive use of static scoping
- Functions as first-class entities
- Simple syntax (and small size) make it ideal for educational applications

COMMON LISP

- An effort to combine features of several dialects of LISP into a single language
- Large, complex, used in industry for some large applications

The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60

- Environment of development
  - FORTRAN had (barely) arrived for IBM 70x
  - Many other languages were being developed, all for specific machines
  - No portable language; all were machine-dependent
  - No universal language for communicating algorithms
- ALGOL 60 was the result of efforts to design a universal language

Early Design Process

- Goals of the language
  - Close to mathematical notation
  - Good for describing algorithms
  - Must be translatable to machine code

ALGOL 58

- Concept of type was formalized
- Names could be any length
- Arrays could have any number of subscripts
- Parameters were separated by mode (in & out)
- Subscripts were placed in brackets
- Compound statements (**`begin ... end`**)
- Semicolon as a statement separator
- Assignment operator was :=
- **`if`** had an **`else-if`** clause
- No I/O – "would make it machine dependent"

ALGOL 58 Implementation

- Not meant to be implemented, but variations of it were (MAD, JOVIAL)
- Although IBM was initially enthusiastic, all support was dropped by mid 1959

ALGOL 60 Overview

- Modified ALGOL 58 at 6-day meeting in Paris
- New features
  - Block structure (local scope)
  - Two parameter passing methods
  - Subprogram recursion
  - Stack-dynamic arrays
  - Still no I/O and no string handling

ALGOL 60 Evaluation

- Successes
  - It was the standard way to publish algorithms for over 20 years
  - All subsequent imperative languages are based on it
  - First machine-independent language
  - First language whose syntax was formally defined (BNF)

ALGOL 60 Evaluation (continued)

- Failure
  - Never widely used, especially in U.S.
  - Reasons
    - Lack of I/O and the character set made programs non-portable
    - Too flexible--hard to implement
    - Entrenchment of Fortran
    - Formal syntax description
    - Lack of support from IBM

Computerizing Business Records: COBOL

- Environment of development
  - UNIVAC was beginning to use FLOW-MATIC
  - USAF was beginning to use AIMACO
  - IBM was developing COMTRAN

COBOL Historical Background

- Based on FLOW-MATIC
- FLOW-MATIC features
  - Names up to 12 characters, with embedded hyphens
  - English names for arithmetic operators (no arithmetic expressions)
  - Data and code were completely separate
  - The first word in every statement was a verb

COBOL Design Process

- First Design Meeting (Pentagon) – May 1959
- Design goals
  - Must look like simple English
  - Must be easy to use, even if that means it will be less powerful
  - Must broaden the base of computer users
  - Must not be biased by current compiler problems
- Design committee members were all from computer manufacturers and DoD branches
- Design Problems: arithmetic expressions? subscripts? Fights among manufacturers

COBOL Evaluation

- Contributions
  - First macro facility in a high-level language
  - Hierarchical data structures (records)
  - Nested selection statements
  - Long names (up to 30 characters), with hyphens
  - Separate data division

COBOL: DoD Influence

- First language required by DoD
  - would have failed without DoD
- Still the most widely used business applications language

The Beginning of Timesharing: BASIC

- Designed by Kemeny & Kurtz at Dartmouth
- Design Goals:
  - Easy to learn and use for non-science students
  - Must be "pleasant and friendly"
  - Fast turnaround for homework
  - Free and private access
  - User time is more important than computer time
- Current popular dialect: Visual BASIC
- First widely used language with time sharing

2.8 Everything for Everybody: PL/I

- Designed by IBM and SHARE
- Computing situation in 1964 (IBM's point of view)
  - Scientific computing
    - IBM 1620 and 7090 computers
    - FORTRAN
    - SHARE user group
  - Business computing
    - IBM 1401, 7080 computers
    - COBOL
    - GUIDE user group

PL/I: Background

- By 1963
  - Scientific users began to need more elaborate I/O, like COBOL had; business users began to need floating point and arrays for MIS
  - It looked like many shops would begin to need two kinds of computers, languages, and support staff--too costly
- The obvious solution
  - Build a new computer to do both kinds of applications
  - Design a new language to do both kinds of applications

PL/I: Design Process

- Designed in five months by the 3 X 3 Committee
  - Three members from IBM, three members from SHARE
- Initial concept
  - An extension of Fortran IV
- Initially called NPL (New Programming Language)
- Name changed to PL/I in 1965

PL/I: Evaluation

- PL/I contributions
  - First unit-level concurrency
  - First exception handling
  - Switch-selectable recursion
  - First pointer data type
- Concerns
  - Many new features were poorly designed
  - Too large and too complex

Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL

- Characterized by dynamic typing and dynamic storage allocation
- Variables are untyped
  - A variable acquires a type when it is assigned a value
- Storage is allocated to a variable when it is assigned a value

APL: A Programming Language

- Designed as a hardware description language at IBM by Ken Iverson around 1960
  - Highly expressive (many operators, for both scalars and arrays of various dimensions)
  - Programs are very difficult to read
- Still in use; minimal changes

SNOBOL

- Designed as a string manipulation language at Bell Labs by Farber, Griswold, and Polensky in 1964
- Powerful operators for string pattern matching
- Slower than alternative languages (and thus no longer used for writing editors)
- Still used for certain text processing tasks